

Volume 15, 27 May 2015

Publisher: Uopen Journals

URL: <http://www.ijic.org>

Cite this as: Int J Integr Care 2015; Annual Conf Suppl; [URN:NBN:NL:UI:10-1-116989](https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:nl:ui:10-1-116989)

Copyright: 

---

## Conference Abstract

### Implementing a “Low-Rules” Provincial Integrated Care Initiative in Canada: The Role of Environmental & Organizational Context

*Agnes Grudniewicz, Institute of Health Policy, Management & Evaluation, University of Toronto, Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St. Michael's Hospital, Canada*

*Jenna M. Evans, Institute of Health Policy, Management & Evaluation, University of Toronto, Canada*

*G. Ross Baker, Institute of Health Policy, Management & Evaluation, University of Toronto, Canada*

*Walter P. Wodchis, Institute of Health Policy, Management & Evaluation, University of Toronto, Toronto Rehabilitation Institute, Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences, Canada*

Correspondence to: **Agnes Grudniewicz**, University of Toronto, Canada, E-mail: [a.grudniewicz@mail.utoronto.ca](mailto:a.grudniewicz@mail.utoronto.ca)

---

## Abstract

**Introduction:** This study explores the implementation of “Health Links”, a provincial integrated care initiative aimed at transforming care systems for high needs patients in Ontario, Canada. Launched in 2012 with 19 early adopters, there are currently 54 operational Health Links in Ontario. Each Health Link consists of multiple providers and is led by a coordinating partner such as a hospital, primary care group, or community support agency.

We explored the implementation of Health Links from the perspective of Local Health Integration Networks (LHINs). LHINs are regional planning and funding bodies that have played an active role in overseeing the implementation process. In this study, we aimed to understand how environmental and organizational contexts influence the implementation and success of Health Links.

**Theory/Methods:** We conducted semi-structured telephone interviews with LHIN leaders directly engaged in overseeing and managing the Health Links within their boundaries. The interviews focused on the LHIN role in implementing and supporting the Health Links, achievements to date, key challenges, and perceived similarities, differences, and degrees of success and innovation among the Health Links in their region. Probing questions were used to clarify the nature and extent of influence of organizational or environmental factors on the participants' experiences and perceptions.

The Context for Integrated Care (CIC) Framework (recently developed by the authors) was used as an analytical framework for data analysis. Interview transcripts were qualitatively analyzed in two successive rounds. In the first round, an open-ended, iterative approach was used to identify

recurrent themes. In the second round, the dimensions of the CIC Framework were used to code the transcripts.

**Results:** Fourteen interviews were conducted with 26 participants between August and September 2014, representing a 100% participation rate from all fourteen of Ontario's LHINs. Significant differences across Health Links were noted in target patient populations, primary care versus hospital leadership, perceived success in rural versus urban areas, and a flexible versus standardized approach to structuring and implementing the network. The response to the “low-rules”, grass-roots style of implementation by the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care was also varied, with some LHINs choosing to impose a prescriptive standardized approach across their Health Links and others embracing the flexibility and opportunity for local adaptation. Despite significant diversity across the LHINs, several common environmental challenges were identified including loss of momentum during the Health Link application and approval process, a lack of clarity regarding the role and accountability of LHINs, measurement limitations impacting evaluation, and sustainability of funding. When mapped on to the CIC Framework, which has an explicit focus on the organizational and network levels, the following factors were evoked most frequently: resources, leadership, primary care engagement, readiness to change, a strategic focus on improvement, and historical inter-organizational relationships.

**Discussion:** Results of the qualitative analysis show that LHIN experiences implementing Health Links varied on a continuum that ranged from positive and eager to demonstrate impact to frustrated and change-fatigued. Despite differences in network structure, implementation approach and geography and demography, recurring themes were identified that reflect the potency of environmental and organizational factors on efforts to integrate services.

The CIC Framework enabled a comprehensive analysis of organizational context. The results suggest that factors such as organizational culture, internal design, and work environment are less important to the implementation of integrated care initiatives than leadership, resources, and readiness for change. Although multiple perspectives are required before drawing firm conclusions about the relative importance of various factors, these results can be used to help prioritize key areas for discussion, measurement and/or change management.

**Conclusions:** The results of this study have implications for policymakers, organizational leaders, and researchers. Policymakers should consider how best to balance flexibility and standardization in the implementation of new programs to support a diverse range of needs and capabilities. Rapid feedback and approval turn-around during the implementation process is crucial to maintain momentum, as is clarity of roles between levels of governance, a shared evaluation framework, and sustainability plans. Leaders must be attuned to the context of their organization, and partnering organizations, to enhance synergy and build on respective strengths. Finally, additional research is required to understand when and how specific contextual factors matter.

Although generalizability is limited by the focus on one jurisdiction in Canada, given the diversity of the Health Links in terms of geography, target patient population, organizational partners, and integrated care model/intervention design, we expect that the results have some transferability to other settings. The research team is currently engaged in interviews with clinicians and leaders working directly within the Health Links.

## **Keywords**

**integrated care; organizational context; regionalization; care delivery system; Canada**

---

## **PowerPoint presentation**

<http://integratedcarefoundation.org/resource/icic15-presentations>